

# Longitudinal/Panel Data Analysis: Lecture 1

Raymond Duch

University of Oxford  
Nuffield College  
raymond.duch@nuffield.ox.ac.uk  
[raymondduch.com/class/2011/paneldata](http://raymondduch.com/class/2011/paneldata)

May 13, 2011

- Fixed Effects
- Random Effects
- Dynamic Models

- Stata 11.0 Manual Longitudinal/Panel Data, xtabond, xtabond postestimation, xtdpdsys, xtivreg
- Wawro, Gregory. 2002. "Estimating Dynamic Panel Data Models in Political Science" *Political Analysis* 10: 25-48.
- Halaby, Charles N. 2004. "Panel Models in Sociological Research: Theory into Practice." *Annual Review of Sociology*. 30:507-44.
- Hsiao, Cheng. 2003. "Analysis of Panel Data: Second Edition." Chapter 4.

Deviations from within-unit means:

$$\bar{y}_i = (\delta_1 + \delta_0)/2 + \gamma \bar{d}_i + \theta_i \hat{\epsilon}_i \quad (1)$$

Consistent fixed effects estimator:

$$(y_{it} - \bar{y}_i) = (\delta_0 - \delta_1)/2 + (\delta_1 - \delta_0)p_1 + \gamma(d_{it} - \bar{d}_i) + (\epsilon_{it} - \bar{\epsilon}_i) \quad (2)$$

# Regression Labour Evaluations, Economy and Income

```
.      regr labour_therm retnat_ttl income_ttl
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	18653
Model	17549.7573	2	8774.87865	F( 2, 18650) =	1108.95
Residual	147573.07	18650	7.91276515	Prob > F	= 0.0000
-----				R-squared	= 0.1063
Total	165122.827	18652	8.85282154	Adj R-squared	= 0.1062
-----				Root MSE	= 2.813

labour_therm	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
retnat_ttl	-1.015335	.0215739	-47.06	0.000	-1.057622	-.973048
income_ttl	.0043702	.0058558	0.75	0.455	-.0071077	.0158482
_cons	7.861831	.1025247	76.68	0.000	7.660873	8.062789

# Fixed Effects with Income

```
. xtreg labour_therm retnat_ttl income_ttl, fe
Fixed-effects (within) regression      Number of obs   =   18653
Group variable: id                   Number of groups =    8215

R-sq:  within = 0.0045                Obs per group:  min =     1
      between = 0.0568                  avg   =     2.3
      overall = 0.0557                  max   =     5

corr(u_i, Xb) = 0.2075                F(2,10436)      =    23.76
                                          Prob > F        =    0.0000
```

```
-----+-----
labour_therm |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
retnat_ttl |  -.0903765   .013828   -6.54   0.000   - .1174819   -.063271
income_ttl |  .0246439   .011645    2.12   0.034   .0018175   .0474702
   _cons |   3.831079   .1016761   37.68   0.000   3.631775   4.030384
-----+-----
sigma_u |  2.7985428
sigma_e |  1.282659
rho |   .8264003   (fraction of variance due to u_i)
-----+-----
F test that all u_i=0:      F(8214, 10436) =    9.65      Prob > F = 0.0000
```

# Fixed Effects with Gender

```
.      xtreg  labour_therm retnat_ttl female, fe
note: female omitted because of collinearity

Fixed-effects (within) regression              Number of obs   =   22890
Group variable: id                            Number of groups =   9538

R-sq:  within = 0.0035                          Obs per group:  min =    1
        between = 0.1729                          avg   =    2.4
        overall = 0.1101                          max   =    5

corr(u_i, Xb) = 0.3258                          F(1,13351)      =   46.90
                                                Prob > F        =   0.0000

-----+-----
labour_therm |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
retnat_ttl |   -.084394   .0123232    -6.85  0.000    - .1085491   -.0602388
female | (omitted)
_cons |   3.897776   .0526257   74.07  0.000    3.794622    4.00093
-----+-----
sigma_u | 2.7990027
sigma_e | 1.2753294
rho | .82808525   (fraction of variance due to u_i)
-----+-----
F test that all u_i=0:      F(9537, 13351) =   10.28      Prob > F = 0.0000
```

# Features of Dynamic Panel Models

- ① include in their specification 1) lagged dependent variables and 2) unobserved individual-specific effects
- ② models are powerful because allow for 1) empirical modeling of dynamics while 2) accounting for individual-specific dynamics
- ③ parse out: 1) does past behaviour directly affect current behaviour (dynamic effect) versus 2) individuals have predilection to behave in particular way (individual-specific)

$$y_{i,t} = \gamma y_{i,t-1} + x_{i,t}\beta + \alpha_i + u_{i,t} \quad (3)$$

$$E [u_{i,t} | y_{i,t-1}, \dots, y_{i,1}, x_{i,t}, x_{i,t-1}, \dots, x_{i,1}] = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$y_{i,t} = \gamma y_{i,t-1} + x_{i,t}\beta + u_{i,t}^* \quad (5)$$

where  $u_{i,t}^* = \alpha_i + u_{i,t}$

$$y_{i,t} = \gamma y_{i,t-1} + x_{i,t}\beta + \alpha_i + u_{i,t} \quad (6)$$

- all explanatory variables are strictly exogenous
- $u_{i,t}$  is mean zero, constant variance, and independently distributed
- least squares estimator is badly biased because of the correlation between the lagged endogenous variable  $y_{i,t-1}$  and the unit effects – because  $u_{i,t}$  affects  $y_{i,t}$  it also affects  $y_{i,t-1}$

$$y_{i,t-1} = \gamma y_{i,t-2} + x_{i,t-1}\beta + \alpha_i + u_{i,t-1} \quad (7)$$

$$y_{i,t} - y_{i,t-1} = \gamma (y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}) + (x_{i,t} - x_{i,t-1})\beta + u_{i,t} - u_{i,t-1} \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta y_{i,t} = \gamma \Delta y_{i,t-1} + \Delta x_{i,t} \beta + \Delta u_{i,t} \quad (9)$$

- eliminate unit effect by first differencing the variables
- apply instrumental variables estimation for the parameters of the lagged endogenous variable ( $\gamma$ )
- $y_{i,t-2} - y_{i,t-3}$  and  $y_{i,t-2}$  are correlated with  $y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}$  but not  $u_{i,t} - u_{i,t-1}$
- the same is true for  $x_{i,t} - x_{i,t-1}$
- Anderson-Hsiao propose two instruments:  $\Delta y_{i,t-2}$  and  $y_{i,t-2}$

# Stata Instrumental Variable Estimation (xtivreg)

```
. xtivreg n l2.n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1981-yr1984 (l.n=l3.n), fd
```

First-differenced IV regression

Group variable:	id	Number of obs	=	471
Time variable (t):	year	Number of groups	=	140
R-sq: within	= 0.0141	Obs per group: min	=	3
between	= 0.9165	avg	=	3.4
overall	= 0.9892	max	=	5
corr(u_i, Xb)	= 0.9239	Wald chi2(14)	=	122.53
		Prob > chi2	=	0.0000

# Stata IV Estimation (xtivreg) from Arellano and Bond

D.n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
n						
LD.	1.422765	1.583053	0.90	0.369	-1.679962	4.525493
L2D.	-.1645517	.1647179	-1.00	0.318	-.4873928	.1582894
w						
D1.	-.7524675	.1765733	-4.26	0.000	-1.098545	-.4063902
LD.	.9627611	1.086506	0.89	0.376	-1.166752	3.092275
k						
D1.	.3221686	.1466086	2.20	0.028	.0348211	.6095161
LD.	-.3248778	.5800599	-0.56	0.575	-1.461774	.8120187
L2D.	-.0953947	.1960883	-0.49	0.627	-.4797207	.2889314
ys						
D1.	.7660906	.369694	2.07	0.038	.0415037	1.490678
LD.	-1.361881	1.156835	-1.18	0.239	-3.629237	.9054744
L2D.	.3212993	.5440403	0.59	0.555	-.745	1.387599
yr1981						
D1.	-.0574197	.0430158	-1.33	0.182	-.1417291	.0268896
yr1982						
D1.	-.0882952	.0706214	-1.25	0.211	-.2267106	.0501203
yr1983						
D1.	-.1063153	.10861	-0.98	0.328	-.319187	.1065563
yr1984						
D1.	-.1172108	.15196	-0.77	0.441	-.4150468	.1806253
_cons	.0161204	.0336264	0.48	0.632	-.0497861	.082027
sigma_u	.29069213					
sigma_e	.18855982					
rho	.70384993	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

Instrumented: L.n  
 Instruments: L2.n w L.w k L.k L2.k ys L.ys L2.ys yr1981 yr1982 yr1983 yr1984 L3.n

# Stata IV Estimation(xtivreg) BCCAP Data

```
. xtivreg labour_therm retnat_ttl income_ttl (1.labour_therm=12.labour_therm), fd
First-differenced IV regression
```

```
Group variable:   id                Number of obs   =    1781
Time variable:   wave_id            Number of groups =    1012
```

```
R-sq:  within = 0.0660                Obs per group: min =    1
        between = 0.7964                avg   =    1.8
        overall = 0.7441                max   =    2
                                           Wald chi2(3)      =    15.93
                                           Prob > chi2       =    0.0012
```

```
corr(u_i, Xb) = 0.8611
```

```
-----+-----
```

D.		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
labour_therm							
	LD.	.2078332	.0653546	3.18	0.001	.0797404	.3359259
retnat_ttl							
	D1.	-.1002493	.0426182	-2.35	0.019	-.1837796	-.0167191
income_ttl							
	D1.	-.039653	.0371654	-1.07	0.286	-.112496	.0331899
	_cons	.1600316	.0434016	3.69	0.000	.074966	.2450973
	sigma_u	2.3998146					
	sigma_e	1.6578996					
	rho	.67692586	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

```
-----+-----
Instrumented:  L.labour_therm
Instruments:  retnat_ttl income_ttl L2.labour_therm
-----+-----
```

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i \beta + u_i \quad (10)$$

$$E [\mathbf{x}_i' u_i] = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$E [\mathbf{x}_i' (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i \beta)] = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i' (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i \hat{\beta}) = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N x_i' x_i \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N x_i' y_i \right) \quad (14)$$

$$E [z_i' u_i] = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$E [z_i' (y_i - x_i \beta)] = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N z_i' (y_i - x_i \hat{\beta}) = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^N z_i' (y_i - x_i \hat{\beta}) \right)' W \left( \sum_{i=1}^N z_i' (y_i - x_i \hat{\beta}) \right) \quad (18)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X' ZWZ' X)^{-1} (X' ZWZ' y) \quad (19)$$

$$\Omega = (E [X_i' Z_i] W E [Z_i' X_i])^{-1} E [X_i' Z_i] W W E [Z_i' X_i] (E [X_i' Z_i] W E [Z_i' X_i])^{-1} \quad (20)$$

where:

$$V = \text{Var} [Z_i' u_i] = E [Z_i' u_i u_i' Z_i]$$

$$\Omega = (X_i' Z_i V^{-1} Z_i' X_i)^{-1} \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{W} = \hat{V}^{-1} = \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i' \hat{u}_i \hat{u}_i' Z_i \right\}^{-1} \quad (22)$$

$$E [z_{i,t}' \Delta u_{i,t}] = 0 \quad t = 2, \dots, T \quad (23)$$

$$y_i = X_i\theta + u_i \quad (24)$$

where

$$y_i = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta y_{i,3} \\ \Delta y_{i,4} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta y_{i,T} \end{bmatrix}, \quad X_i = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta y_{i,2} & \Delta X_{i,3} \\ \Delta y_{i,3} & \Delta X_{i,4} \\ \vdots & \\ \Delta y_{i,T-1} & \Delta X_{i,T} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad u_i = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta u_{i,3} \\ \Delta u_{i,4} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta u_{i,T} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z_i = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{i,3} & & & 0 \\ & Z_{i,4} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & Z_{i,T} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(y_{it} - y_{it-1}) = \gamma(y_{it-1} - y_{it-2}) + \sum_k \beta_k(x_{kit} - x_{kit-1}) + \sum_s \phi_s(w_{sit} - w_{sit-1}) + (\epsilon_{it} - \epsilon_{it-1}) \quad (25)$$

- $x_{kit}$  are strictly exogenous covariates
- $w_{sit}$  are predetermined and endogenous covariates
- eliminate unit effect by first differencing the variables
- apply instrumental variables estimation for the parameters of the lagged endogenous variable ( $\gamma$ )

# The Instrumental Variables in Arellano-Bond GMM Estimation

General form of GMM estimator of  $\hat{\beta}$

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Y}) \quad (26)$$

- $\mathbf{Z}$  consists of the valid instruments in the differenced equation
- the GMM-type instruments are lagged values of  $w_{sit}$  – predetermined and endogenous covaraites
- the standard instruments are the first differences of  $x_{kit}$  – the exogenous variables

$$n_{it} = \alpha_1 y_{it-1} + \alpha_2 y_{it-2} + \gamma_1 w_{it} + \gamma_2 k_{it} + \gamma_3 y_{sit} + \eta_i + \lambda_t + \epsilon_{it} \quad (27)$$

- $n_{it}$  is log employment in company  $i$  at end of year  $t$
- $k$  is log of gross capital;  $w$  is log of real product wage;  $ys$  is log of industry output
- $\lambda_t$  captures a time effect common to all firms
- sample consists of 140 firms over period 1976-1984 – note three cross sections lost in constructing lags

$$\mathbf{z}_i = \begin{bmatrix} n_{i,1} & n_{i,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \Delta x_{i,4} \\ 0 & 0 & n_{i,1} & n_{i,2} & n_{i,3} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \Delta x_{i,5} \\ \vdots & & & & & \ddots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & n_{i,1} & \cdots & n_{i,7} & \vdots & \Delta x_{i,9} \end{bmatrix}$$

For example, the equation for 1979 in first differences can be written as

$$\Delta n_{i,4} = \gamma_1 \Delta n_{i,3} + \gamma_2 \Delta n_{i,2} + \Delta x_{i,4} \beta + \Delta \epsilon_{i,4}$$

# Stata Instrumental Variable Estimation (xtabond)

```
. xtabond n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984 year, lags(2) vce(robust) noconstant
```

Arellano-Bond dynamic panel-data estimation	Number of obs	=	611
Group variable: id	Number of groups	=	140
Time variable: year			
	Obs per group:	min =	4
		avg =	4.364286
		max =	6
Number of instruments =	41	Wald chi2(16)	= 1727.45
		Prob > chi2	= 0.0000

# Stata Instrumental Variable Estimation(xtabond)

## One-step results

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
n						
L1.	.6862261	.1445943	4.75	0.000	.4028266	.9696257
L2.	-.0853582	.0560155	-1.52	0.128	-.1951467	.0244302
w						
--.	-.6078208	.1782055	-3.41	0.001	-.9570972	-.2585445
L1.	.3926237	.1679931	2.34	0.019	.0633632	.7218842
k						
--.	.3568456	.0590203	6.05	0.000	.241168	.4725233
L1.	-.0580012	.0731797	-0.79	0.428	-.2014308	.0854284
L2.	-.0199475	.0327126	-0.61	0.542	-.0840631	.0441681
ys						
--.	.6085073	.1725313	3.53	0.000	.2703522	.9466624
L1.	-.7111651	.2317163	-3.07	0.002	-1.165321	-.2570095
L2.	.1057969	.1412021	0.75	0.454	-.1709542	.382548
yr1980	.0029062	.0158028	0.18	0.854	-.0280667	.0338791
yr1981	-.0404378	.0280582	-1.44	0.150	-.0954307	.0145552
yr1982	-.0652767	.0365451	-1.79	0.074	-.1369038	.0063503
yr1983	-.0690928	.047413	-1.46	0.145	-.1620205	.0238348
yr1984	-.0650302	.0576305	-1.13	0.259	-.1779839	.0479235
year	.0095545	.0102896	0.93	0.353	-.0106127	.0297217

## Instruments for differenced equation

GMM-type: L(2/.)n

Standard: D.w LD.w D.k LD.k L2D.k D.ys LD.ys L2D.ys D.yr1980 D.yr1981 D.yr1982 D.yr1983 D.yr1984

Test of overidentifying restrictions is the Sargan test:

$$s = \hat{\epsilon}' \mathbf{Z} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{z}'_i \hat{\epsilon}_i \hat{\epsilon}'_i \mathbf{z}_i \right)^{-1} \mathbf{z}'_i \hat{\epsilon} \quad (28)$$

# Implementing the Sargan test

- 1 Estimating the equation by IV and obtain the residuals  $\hat{\epsilon}_{jt}$
- 2 Regress the IV  $\hat{\epsilon}_{jt}$  on all exogenous variables (instrument + controls)
- 3 Obtain the  $R^2$
- 4 The test statistic is  $S = nR^2$
- 5 Where  $n$  is the number of observations
- 6 Under the null hypothesis that all instruments are exogenous  $S$  is distributed as  $\chi^2_{m-r}$ , where  $m - r$  is the number of instruments minus the number of endogenous variables

# Stata Instrumental Variable Estimation(xtabond)

```
. xtabond n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984 year, lags(2) noconstant
Arellano-Bond dynamic panel-data estimation Number of obs      =       611
Group variable: id           Number of groups       =       140
Time variable: year

Obs per group:   min =         4
                  avg =    4.364286
                  max =         6

Number of instruments =      41           Wald chi2(16)       =    1757.07
                                          Prob > chi2        =     0.0000
```

## One-step results

	n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----						
n						
L1.		.6862261	.1486163	4.62	0.000	.3949435 .9775088
L2.		-.0853582	.0444365	-1.92	0.055	-.1724523 .0017358
w						
---		-.6078208	.0657694	-9.24	0.000	-.7367265 -.4789151
L1.		.3926237	.1092374	3.59	0.000	.1785222 .6067251
k						
---		.3568456	.0370314	9.64	0.000	.2842653 .4294259
L1.		-.0580012	.0583051	-0.99	0.320	-.172277 .0562747
L2.		-.0199475	.0416274	-0.48	0.632	-.1015357 .0616408
ys						
---		.6085073	.1345412	4.52	0.000	.3448115 .8722031
L1.		-.7111651	.1844599	-3.86	0.000	-1.0727 -.3496304
L2.		.1057969	.1428568	0.74	0.459	-.1741974 .3857912
yr1980		.0029062	.0212705	0.14	0.891	-.0387832 .0445957
yr1981		-.0404378	.0354707	-1.14	0.254	-.1099591 .0290836
yr1982		-.0652767	.048209	-1.35	0.176	-.1597646 .0292111
yr1983		-.0690928	.0627354	-1.10	0.271	-.1920521 .0538664
yr1984		-.0650302	.0781322	-0.83	0.405	-.2181665 .0881061
year		.0095545	.0142073	0.67	0.501	-.0182912 .0374002

# Stata Instrumental Variable Estimation: A-B Test for Serial Correlation and Sargan Test

```
. estat abond
```

```
Arellano-Bond test for zero autocorrelation in first-differenced errors
```

```
+-----+
|Order | z      Prob > z|
+-----+-----+
|  1  |-3.9394  0.0001 |
|  2  |-.54239  0.5876 |
+-----+-----+
H0: no autocorrelation
```

```
. estat sargan
```

```
Sargan test of overidentifying restrictions
```

```
H0: overidentifying restrictions are valid
```

```
chi2(25)      = 65.81806
Prob > chi2    = 0.0000
```

```
.
end of do-file
```

# Stata Instrumental Variable Estimation not assuming strict exogeneity (xtabond)

Recall

$$E[x_{jt}\epsilon_{js}] = 0 \quad (29)$$

for all  $t, s$

Predetermined variables

$$E[x_{jt}\epsilon_{js}] \neq 0 \quad (30)$$

for  $s < t$

# Stata Instrumental Variable Estimation not assuming strict exogeneity (xtabond)

```
. xtabond n l(0/1).ys yr1980-yr1984 year, lags(2) twostep pre(w,lag(1,.)) ///
> pre(k, lag(2,.)) vce(robust) noconstant
Arellano-Bond dynamic panel-data estimation Number of obs      =      611
Group variable: id              Number of groups       =      140
Time variable: year

Obs per group:   min =          4
                  avg =  4.364286
                  max =          6

Number of instruments =      83      Wald chi2(15)      =      958.30
                                      Prob > chi2        =      0.0000
```

# Stata Instrumental Variable Estimation not assuming strict exogeneity (xtabond)

## Two-step results

	n	Coef.	WC-Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
n						
L1.		.8580958	.1265515	6.78	0.000	.6100594 1.106132
L2.		-.081207	.0760703	-1.07	0.286	-.2303022 .0678881
w						
--.		-.6910855	.1387684	-4.98	0.000	-.9630666 -.4191044
L1.		.5961712	.1497338	3.98	0.000	.3026982 .8896441
k						
--.		.4140654	.1382788	2.99	0.003	.1430439 .6850868
L1.		-.1537048	.1220244	-1.26	0.208	-.3928681 .0854586
L2.		-.1025833	.0710886	-1.44	0.149	-.2419143 .0367477
ys						
--.		.6936392	.1728623	4.01	0.000	.3548354 1.032443
L1.		-.8773678	.2183085	-4.02	0.000	-1.305245 -.449491
yr1980		-.0072451	.0171163	-0.42	0.673	-.0408839 .0263938
yr1981		-.0609608	.030207	-2.02	0.044	-.1201655 -.0017561
yr1982		-.1130369	.0454826	-2.49	0.013	-.2021812 -.0238926
yr1983		-.1335249	.0600213	-2.22	0.026	-.2511645 -.0158853
yr1984		-.1623177	.0725434	-2.24	0.025	-.3045001 -.0201352
year		.0264501	.0119329	2.22	0.027	.003062 .0498381

## Instruments for differenced equation

GMM-type: L(2/.)n L(1/.)L.w L(1/.)L2.k

Standard: D.yys LD.yys D.yr1980 D.yr1981 D.yr1982 D.yr1983 D.yr1984 D.year

# BCCAP Data: Stata IV Estimation (xtabond)

```
. xtabond labour_therm retnat_ttl income_ttl, lags(2) vce(robust)
```

```
Arellano-Bond dynamic panel-data estimation   Number of obs       =       1781
Group variable: id                           Number of groups    =       991
Time variable: wave_id

Obs per group:   min =         1
                  avg =    1.797175
                  max =         2

Number of instruments =      8                Wald chi2(4)        =       40.60
                                                Prob > chi2         =       0.0000
```

One-step results

(Std. Err. adjusted for clustering on id)

```
-----+-----
labour_therm |          Coef.      Robust
              |          Std. Err.      z    P>|z|    [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
labour_therm |
    L1.      |    .0840334    .1165902    0.72  0.471    - .1444792    .312546
    L2.      |   -.0780486    .0559124   -1.40  0.163    - .1876348    .0315377
labour_therm |
retnat_ttl  |   -.195831    .0417068   -4.70  0.000    - .2775748   -.1140871
income_ttl  |   -.0276891    .0361906   -0.77  0.444    - .0986214    .0432432
   _cons    |    4.580348    .6670734    6.87  0.000    3.272908    5.887788
-----+-----
```

Instruments for differenced equation

GMM-type: L(2/.)labour\_therm

Standard: D.retnat\_ttl D.income\_ttl

Instruments for level equation

Standard: \_cons